

**Art Unit: 2617**

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Applicant expresses appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application.

Applicant understands that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of functions recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicant submits that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate statement of reasons for allowance is unnecessary in this case.

In addition, Applicant notes that there are two typographical errors in the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. On page 2, the Examiner indicated that claims 32-45 are allowed. However, on page 3, the Examiner mentioned that "claims 33-36 are rejected based on their being dependent on claim 32," while the context of the statement indicates that claims 33-36 are allowed at least due to their being dependent on claim 32. Similarly, on page 4, the Examiner mentioned that "claims 38-45 are rejected based on their being dependent on claim 37," while the context of the statement indicates that claims 38-45 are allowed at least due to their being dependent on claim 37. Applicant understands that claims 32-45 are allowed as indicated by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6-6-08

By: Melissa A. Jobe
Melissa A. Jobe
Reg. No. 54,605



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Docket No. 2052)

In re the Application of:

Mark Yarkosky

Serial No. 10/659,647

Filed: September 10, 2003

Confirmation No. 3662

For: METHOD FOR DYNAMICALLY
DIRECTING A WIRELESS
REPEATER

Examiner: O. Ajibade Akonai

Art Unit: 2617

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Applicant expresses appreciation for the Examiner's allowance of the present application.

Applicant understands that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of functions recited by each of the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only those aspects that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

Furthermore, Applicant submits that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, that a separate statement of reasons for allowance is unnecessary in this case.

In addition, Applicant notes that there are two typographical errors in the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. On page 2, the Examiner indicated that claims 32-45 are allowed. However, on page 3, the Examiner mentioned that "claims 33-36 are rejected based on their being dependent on claim 32," while the context of the statement indicates that claims 33-36 are allowed at least due to their being dependent on claim 32. Similarly, on page 4, the Examiner mentioned that "claims 38-45 are rejected based on their being dependent on claim 37," while the context of the statement indicates that claims 38-45 are allowed at least due to their being dependent on claim 37. Applicant understands that claims 32-45 are allowed as indicated by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6-6-08

By: Melissa A. Jobe
Melissa A. Jobe
Reg. No. 54,605